

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of California
Water Service Company (U 60 W), a Corporation,
for an Order Authorizing It to Increase Rates
Charged for Water Service at Each of Its
Operating Districts to Recover Increased
Operating Expenditures at Its General Office.

Application 01-09-062
(Filed September 10, 2001)

And Related Matters.

Application 01-09-063
Application 01-09-064
Application 01-09-065
Application 01-09-066
Application 01-09-067
Application 01-09-068
Application 01-09-069
Application 01-09-070
Application 01-09-071
Application 01-09-072
Application 01-09-073
Application 01-09-074

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
SETTING ASIDE SUBMISSION, RE-OPENING RECORD,
AND DIRECTING PARTIES TO MAKE FILING**

On August 26, 2002, California Water Services Company (Cal Water) filed additional information in support of the Joint Recommendation submitted by Cal Water and the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA). In reviewing the August 26, 2002 filing, I have concluded that the record should be supplemented with additional information as set out below. Therefore, no later than

November 4, 2002, the parties shall file and serve a document containing the following information and discussion:

1. The information submitted on August 26, 2002, shows rate base for general office and each district but omits annual plant additions. The supplemental information should include tables for both test years and the two attrition years showing plant additions for each district and general office. The major projects that account for the additions should be identified and discussed or citations provided to discussion in the record.
2. The General Office tables in the August 26, 2002, information show a “nonregulated adjustment” for both expenses and capital. The supplemental information should include the calculations, discussion, and documentation or references that support these adjustments, particularly explaining the differences in the adjustments. Commission precedent adopting such adjustments should also be identified.

Therefore, pursuant to Rule 77 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, I set aside submission of this proceeding, re-open the record for the Motion to Approve Joint Recommendation and the above-listed items, and re-set the submission date to November 4, 2002.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated October 28, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MARIBETH A. BUSHEY
Maribeth A. Bushey
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Aside Submission, Re-Opening Record, and Directing Parties to Make Filing on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record. In addition, service was also performed by electronic mail.

Dated October 28, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.